

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8                   WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9                   AT SEATTLE

10                   TAMARA LOHR and RAVIKIRAN  
11                   SINDOGI, on behalf of themselves and all  
12                   others similarly situated,

13                   Plaintiffs,

14                   v.

15                   NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC., and  
16                   NISSAN MOTOR CO., LTD.,

17                   Defendants.

Case No. C16-1023RSM

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR  
RECONSIDERATION

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Nissan North America, Inc. (“NNA”)’s Motion for Reconsideration. Dkt. #103. On April 27, 2021, the Court issued an Order granting in part Plaintiffs’ Motions to Seal related to their Motion for Class Certification. Dkt. #102. The Court agreed with the parties as to the sealing of many exhibits, ordered the unsealing of certain exhibits, and noted, “[t]here is no argument from either party in favor of sealing the briefing, although Plaintiffs spent time dutifully redacting it.” *Id.* at 6. The Court examined the redactions and found that “[s]ome of the redacted portions quote the above exhibits, while others do not.” *Id.* The Court refused to *sua sponte* argue in favor of sealing the briefing and it was to be unsealed by the clerk in three days. *Id.* at 7. This delay was

1 designed to allow for a motion for reconsideration, and the instant motion was filed shortly  
2 thereafter.

3 The instant Motion does not seek reconsideration of the Court's decision as to the  
4 unsealing of the contested Exhibits E, G, K, P, and N. NNA only asks the Court to reconsider  
5 the unsealing of the briefing where it quotes or paraphrases exhibits the Court's Order found  
6 should be sealed. Specifically, NNA requests that "the proposed redactions to Plaintiffs'  
7 Motion for Class Certification which rely on Exhibits B, C, D, F, H, I, J, M, O, S, T, Y, Z, or  
8 AA, or the redactions to L and V, remain sealed." Plaintiffs have responded to this Motion and  
9 do not oppose it. Dkt. #106.

10  
11 "Motions for reconsideration are disfavored." LCR 7(h)(1). "The court will ordinarily  
12 deny such motions in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior ruling or a  
13 showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to its attention  
14 earlier with reasonable diligence." *Id.*

15  
16 To grant a motion such as this, the Court would typically rely on a showing of manifest  
17 error or new facts or legal authority. Instead, the parties have said almost nothing. NNA  
18 "apologizes" for its error in failing to address the sealing of briefing. Dkt. #103 at 2. NNA  
19 argues, in a single sentence, that the "redactions should remain sealed for the reasons NNA  
20 asserted in its response to Plaintiffs' motion to seal," but fails to acknowledge that NNA never  
21 addressed the redactions collectively or individually, which would have been preferable.  
22 Plaintiffs made these redactions but decline to walk through them one by one.

23  
24 The Court nevertheless finds that Plaintiffs' prior redactions in briefing that rely on  
25 Exhibits B, C, D, F, H, I, J, M, O, S, T, Y, Z, or AA, or to redacted portions of exhibits L and  
26  
27  
28

1 V, should remain sealed on the same bases the Court relied on to seal those exhibits.

2 Accordingly, the Court hereby finds and ORDERS:

- 3 1) Defendant Nissan North America, Inc. ("NNA")'s Motion for Reconsideration, Dkt.  
4 #103, is GRANTED.
- 5 2) Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification, Dkt. #94, is to remain SEALED.
- 6 3) Plaintiffs are to file a revised redacted version of their Motion for Class  
7 Certification consistent with the above no later than twenty-one (21) days from the  
8 date of this Order. If there is any confusion on Plaintiffs' behalf of what is to  
9 remain redacted, the parties are to meet and confer prior to filing anything further  
10 with the Court.

11 DATED this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of May, 2021.

12  
13  
14  
15 

16 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
17 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28